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#### Abstract

A 3-D model for pollutant transport is proposed considering a set of coupled convection-diffusion-reaction equations. The convective phenomenon is mainly produced by a wind field obtained from a 3-D mass consistent model. In particular, the modelling of oxidation and hydrolysis of sulphur and nitrogen oxides released to the surface layer is carried out by using a linear module of chemical reactions. Dry deposition process is represented by the so-called deposition velocity, introduced as a boundary condition. Wet deposition is included in the source term of the governing equations using the washout coefficient.

To obtain a numerical solution the problem is transformed before using a conformal coordinates system. This allows to work with a simpler domain in order to build a mesh that provides high consistency finite difference schemes. The convection-diffusion-reaction equations are solved using a high order time discretization which is obtained following the technique of Lax and Wendroff. Finally, the model is tested with a numerical experiment in La Palma Island (Canary Islands).
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## 1 Wind field approach

The continuity equation and the impermeability conditions on the terrain $\Gamma_{b}$ are, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}=0 \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
& \vec{n} \cdot \vec{u}=0 \text { in } \Gamma_{b} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

assuming that the air density is constant in the whole domain. We formulate a leastsquare problem in the domain $\Omega$ where the wind field $\vec{u}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{w})$ will be adjusted
by the observed wind $\vec{v}_{0}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right)$, expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{w})=\int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{1}^{2}\left(\left(\widetilde{u}-u_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\widetilde{v}-v_{0}\right)^{2}\right)+\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\widetilde{w}-w_{0}\right)^{2}\right] d \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ being the Gauss precision moduli. The solution $\vec{v}(u, v, w)$ is equivalent to find a saddle point $(\vec{v}, \phi)$ of Lagrangian [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon(\vec{v})=\min _{\vec{u} \in K} E(\vec{u})+\int_{\Omega} \phi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} d \Omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lagrange multiplier technique is used to minimize problem (4), whose minimum comes to form the Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =u_{0}+T_{h} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}  \tag{5}\\
v & =v_{0}+T_{h} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}  \tag{6}\\
w & =w_{0}+T_{v} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the Lagrange multiplier and $T=\left(T_{h}, T_{h}, T_{v}\right)$ is the diagonal transmissivity tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{h}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{1}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{v}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{2}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are constant in $\Omega$, the variational approach results in an elliptic equation by substituting (5), (6) and (7) in (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{T_{v}}{T_{h}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}=-\frac{1}{T_{h}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial z}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the boundary conditions result as follows (Dirichlet condition on flow-through boundaries and Neumann condition on terrain and top),

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlrl}
\phi & =0 \quad \text { on } & \Gamma_{a} & \\
\vec{n} \cdot T \vec{\nabla} \phi & =-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}_{0} & & \text { on } & \Gamma_{b} \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

### 1.1 Terrain conformal coordinates

We propose the following conformal coordinate transformation which reduces the tridimensional domain to a unitary cube $\Omega^{\prime}$, where the terrain is now represented as
a horizontal plane,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x}{x_{l}}, \quad \eta=\frac{y}{y_{l}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma=\frac{z-z_{s}}{z_{t}-z_{s}}=\frac{z-z_{s}}{\pi} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $z_{s}(x, y)$ is the function which define the terrain topography, $z_{t}$ is the maximum height and both $x_{l}$ and $y_{l}$ are the maximum horizontal length of the domain. Let denote $\pi=z_{t}-z_{s}$. Then equation (8) becomes to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\pi}{x_{l}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\pi}{y_{l}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\left[\frac{(\sigma-1)^{2}}{\pi}\left(\left(\frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{T_{v}}{T_{h}} \frac{1}{\pi}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \sigma^{2}} \\
+2(\sigma-1)\left[\frac{1}{x_{l}} \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \xi \partial \sigma}+\frac{1}{y_{l}} \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \eta \partial \sigma}\right] \\
+(\sigma-1)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} z_{s}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} z_{s}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\left(\frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma} \\
=-\frac{1}{T_{h}}\left[\pi\left(\frac{1}{x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \xi}+\frac{1}{y_{l}} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right)+(\sigma-1)\left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial y}\right)+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \sigma}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Using the conformal transformation, the boundary conditions (10) and (11) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{a}  \tag{13}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{b_{1}}  \tag{14}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma}=\frac{\frac{\pi}{T_{h}}\left[\left(u_{0}+T_{h} \frac{1}{x_{l}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}\right) \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x}+\left(v_{0}+T_{h} \frac{1}{y_{l}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}\right) \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial y}-w_{0}\right]}{\left(\frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+\frac{T_{v}}{T_{h}}} \text { on } \Gamma_{b_{0}} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{a}$ being to the vertical faces of the boundary, $\Gamma_{b_{1}}(\sigma=1)$ the top and $\Gamma_{b_{0}}(\sigma=0)$ the bottom.

### 1.2 Initial wind profile

The technique for horizontal interpolation is formulated as a function of the inverse of the squared distance and the height difference between the point and the station [2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{0}\left(z_{e}\right)=\varepsilon \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{v}_{n}}{d_{n}^{2}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{d_{n}^{2}}}+(1-\varepsilon) \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{v}_{n}}{\left|\Delta h_{n}\right|}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left|\Delta h_{n}\right|}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a weighting parameter $(0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1)$, which allows to give more importance to one of these two criteria. The value of $\vec{v}_{n}$ is the velocity observed at the station $n$, where $N$ is the number of stations considered in the interpolation, $d_{n}$ is the horizontal distance from station $n$ to the point of the domain where we are computing the wind velocity, $\left|\Delta h_{n}\right|$ is the height difference between station $n$ and the studied point.

In this work, a log-linear wind profile is considered [3] at the surface layer, which takes into account the horizontal interpolation and the effect of roughness on the wind intensity and direction. These values also depend on the air stability (neutral, stable or unstable atmosphere) according to the Pasquill stability class. Above the surface layer, a linear interpolation is carried out using the geostrophic wind. The logarithmic profile is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{0}(z)=\frac{\vec{v}^{*}}{k}\left(\log \frac{z}{z_{0}}-\Phi_{m}\right) \quad z_{0}<z \leq z_{s l} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{v}^{*}$ is the friction velocity, $k$ is the von Karman's constant, $z_{0}$ is the roughness length [4], $z_{s l}$ is the height of the surface layer and $\Phi_{m}$ depends on the air stability,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{m}=0 \\
& \Phi_{m}=-5 \frac{z}{L}  \tag{18}\\
& \Phi_{m}=\log \left[\left(\frac{\theta^{2}+1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\theta+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right]-2 \arctan \theta+\frac{\pi}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

being,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\left(1-16 \frac{z}{L}\right)^{1 / 4} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{L}=a z_{0}^{b} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a$ and $b$ depending on the Pasquill stability class (see e.g.[5]). The friction velocity is obtained at each point from the interpolated measurements at the height of the stations (horizontal interpolation),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}^{*}=\frac{k \vec{v}_{0}\left(z_{e}\right)}{\ln \frac{z_{e}}{z_{0}}-\Phi_{m}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The height of boundary layer $z_{p b l}$ above the ground is computed such that the wind intensity and direction are constant at that height (geostrophic wind) is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{p b l}=\frac{\gamma\left|\vec{v}^{*}\right|}{f} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=2 \Theta \sin \phi$ is the Coriolis parameter $(\Theta$ is the earth rotation velocity and $\phi$ the latitude), and $\gamma$ is a parameter depending on the atmospheric stability between 0.15 and 0.3 .

The height of the mixed layer $h$ is considered to be equal to $z_{p b l}$ in neutral and unstable conditions. In stable conditions, it is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\gamma^{\prime} \sqrt{\frac{\left|\vec{v}^{*}\right| L}{f}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma^{\prime}=0.4[6,7]$. The height of surface layer is $z_{s l}=\frac{h}{10}$. From $z_{s l}$ to $z_{p b l}$, a linear interpolation with geostrophic wind $\vec{v}_{g}$ is carried out,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{0}(z)=\rho(z) \vec{v}_{0}\left(z_{s l}\right)+[1-\rho(z)] \vec{v}_{g} \quad \text { if } \quad z_{s l}<z \leq z_{p b l} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(z)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(z)=1-\left(\frac{z-z_{s l}}{z_{p b l}-z_{s l}}\right)^{2}\left(3-2 \frac{z-z_{s l}}{z_{p b l}-z_{s l}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the model considers

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\vec{v}_{0}(z)=\vec{v}_{g} & \text { if } & z>z_{p b l} \\
\vec{v}_{0}(z)=0 & \text { if } & z \leq z_{0} \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

## 2 Air pollution modelling

In an Eulerian model, the convection-diffusion-reaction equation for a pollutant species $i$ is formulated as (see e.g.[8]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial t}+\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} c_{i}-\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\mathbf{K}_{i} \vec{\nabla} c_{i}\right)=f_{i} \quad i=1, \ldots, p, \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the number of pollutant species, $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)$ represents the average concentration of pollutant $i, \vec{v}$ is the wind velocity computed with the previous model, $K_{i}=\left[K_{i 1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), K_{i 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), K_{i 3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right]$ is the diagonal tensor of diffusivity and $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{p}\right)$ is the source term. We suppose that the initial value of $c_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, p$, is known in $\Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 0\right)=c_{i}^{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \quad i=1, \ldots, p, \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the boundary conditions in $\Gamma_{a}$ and $\Gamma_{b}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
c_{i}=C_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right) & i=1, \ldots, p, & \text { in } \Gamma_{a} \\
-\vec{n} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{i} \vec{\nabla} c_{i}=0 & i=1, \ldots, p, & \text { in } \Gamma_{b 1} \\
-\vec{n} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{i} \vec{\nabla} c_{i}=v_{d i} c_{i} & i=1, \ldots, p, & \text { in } \Gamma_{b 0} \tag{31}
\end{array}
$$

where $v_{d i}$ is the dry deposition velocity over the terrain. In general, $C_{i}$ will be considered equal to zero or to the environmental value.

### 2.1 The source of pollutants

If the chemistry of the species and the wet deposition are taken into account in the model, the source term of equation (27) becomes to [9],

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=E_{i}+R_{i}+P_{i}=E_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_{i j} c_{j} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)$ is the direct emission of species $i, R_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)$ represents the variation of the concentration of species $i$ due to chemical reactions and $P_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)$ is the elimination by precipitations (wet deposition). The model assumes that $R_{i}$ and $P_{i}$ are lineal. The emission of a chimney located at ( $x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03}$ ) has been approached by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\frac{C_{i_{0}}(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2}}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sigma_{z}} \exp \left\{-\left[\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{1_{0}}}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_{x}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{2_{0}}}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_{y}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{3}-x_{3_{0}}}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_{z}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have considered $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ the significant species, simplifying the nonlinear module of reactions [10] leads to these linear terms (see e.g. [11]),

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{N O_{x}} & =\bar{\alpha}_{N O_{x}, N O_{x}} c_{N O_{x}}  \tag{34}\\
R_{H N O_{3}} & =-\bar{\alpha}_{N O_{x}, N O_{x}} c_{N O_{x}}  \tag{35}\\
R_{S O_{2}} & =\bar{\alpha}_{S O_{2}, S O_{2}} c_{S O_{2}}  \tag{36}\\
R_{H_{2} S O_{4}} & =-\bar{\alpha}_{S O_{2}, S O_{2}} c_{S O_{2}} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\alpha}_{N O_{x}, N O_{x}}=-2 k_{1} k_{2}  \tag{38}\\
& \bar{\alpha}_{S O_{2}, S O_{2}}=-2 \frac{k_{1} k_{3}}{k_{2}} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are kinetic parameters corresponding to,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+h \cdot v \xrightarrow{1} \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O} . \\
\mathrm{OH} \cdot+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \xrightarrow{2} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\
\mathrm{OH} \cdot+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \xrightarrow{3} \mathrm{HOSO}_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The contribution of the wet deposition is formulated by a linear term too,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=-\frac{v_{w i}}{h} c_{i}=-\frac{w_{r i}}{h} p_{0} c_{i} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $h$ the average mixed layer and $v_{w i}$ the velocity of wet deposition defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{w i}=w_{r i} p_{0} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{r i}$ is the proportion on the surface between the concentration of precipitated materia and the concentration of materia in the air, and $p_{0}$ is the intensity of precipitation. Thus, the coefficients of equation (32) become to,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{i j}=\bar{\alpha}_{i j} \text { if } j \neq i \\
\alpha_{i i}=\bar{\alpha}_{i i}-\frac{v_{w i}}{h} \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.2 High-order accurate time-stepping scheme

Following the technique developed by Lax and Wendroff [12], a general formulation for the convection-diffusion-reaction equation is proposed. It is based on a high order time discretization by means of the Taylor's span combined with a finite difference discretization [13]. Nowadays a similar technique, but using Galerkin finite elements leads to the so called Taylor-Galerkin schemes [14-16]. Thus, we have for the species $i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}^{n+1}=c_{i}^{n}+\left.\Delta t \frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial t}\right|_{n}+\left.\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{n+\theta}+O\left(\Delta t^{3}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (27), the first time derivative $\frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial t}$ may be expressed in terms of spatial derivatives, and $\frac{\partial^{2} c_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}$ may be approached from the time derivation of equation (27) (see e.g. [17]). The new formulation of equation (43) results in,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[1-\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{6}((\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}+\vec{v} \cdot(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{\nabla})-\Delta t \mathbf{K}_{i} \nabla^{2}\right]\left(\frac{c_{i}^{n+1}-c_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)} \\
& -\left[\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 1}-\frac{5}{12} \Delta t^{2} \alpha_{i 1} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right]\left(\frac{c_{1}^{n+1}-c_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right) \\
& -\left[\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 2}-\frac{5}{12} \Delta t^{2} \alpha_{i 2} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right]\left(\frac{c_{2}^{n+1}-c_{2}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1. Reference numbers in the unitary cube

$$
\begin{align*}
= & -\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} c_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} c_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \vec{v} \cdot(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{\nabla} c_{i}^{n} \\
& -\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\mathbf{K}_{i} \nabla^{2} \vec{v}\right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} c_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{6} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\left(\vec{v} t \cdot \vec{\nabla} c_{i}^{n}\right)+\mathbf{K}_{i} \nabla^{2} c_{i}^{n} \\
& -\frac{\Delta t}{2} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} E_{i}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 1} \mathbf{K}_{i} \nabla^{2} c_{1}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 1} E_{1}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 1} \alpha_{11} c_{1}^{n} \\
& -\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 1} \alpha_{12} c_{2}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 2} \mathbf{K}_{i} \nabla^{2} c_{2}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 2} E_{2}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 2} \alpha_{21} c_{1}^{n} \\
& -\frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha_{i 2} \alpha_{22} c_{2}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{6} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} E_{i t}+E_{i}+\alpha_{i 1} c_{1}^{n}+\alpha_{i 2} c_{2}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathbf{K}_{i} \nabla^{2} f_{i} \\
& +O\left(\Delta t^{3},\left\|\mathbf{K}_{i}\right\| \Delta t^{2},\left\|\mathbf{K}_{i}\right\|^{2} \Delta t\right) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Finite difference discretization

Before applying finite differences for the spatial discretization, equation (44) is transformed using the conformal coordinate system (12). The selected finite difference scheme depends on the node location. We have related each location to a reference number, as shown in Figure 1. From here, a mesh with regular horizontal spacing is considered. However, in the vertical direction, the spacing could be variable.

For the inner points, whose reference number is 0 , the schemes proposed for the $c\left(x_{1 i}, x_{2 j}, x_{3 k}, t\right)$ derivatives are,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}=\frac{\phi_{i+1, j, k}-\phi_{i-1, j, k}}{2 \Delta \xi}+O\left(\Delta \xi^{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2. Inner nodes molecule. There are 15 nodes for wind modelization, while in the pollutant model for the first pollutant species $(i=1)$ there are 19 nodes, and 26 nodes for the second one $(i=2)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}= & \frac{\phi_{i, j+1, k}-\phi_{i, j-1, k}}{2 \Delta \eta}+O\left(\Delta \eta^{2}\right)  \tag{46}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma}= & \frac{\lambda_{k}^{2} \phi_{i, j, k+1}-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-1\right) \phi_{i, j, k}-\phi_{i, j, k-1}}{\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right)}+O\left(\lambda_{k} \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+^{2}}\right)  \tag{47}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \xi^{2}}= & \frac{\phi_{i-1, j, k}-2 \phi_{i, j, k}+\phi_{i+1, j, k}}{\Delta \xi^{2}}+O\left(\Delta \xi^{2}\right)  \tag{48}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \eta^{2}}= & \frac{\phi_{i, j-1, k}-2 \phi_{i, j, k}+\phi_{i, j+1, k}}{\Delta \eta^{2}}+O\left(\Delta \eta^{2}\right)  \tag{49}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \sigma^{2}}= & 2 \frac{\phi_{i, j, k-1}-\left(1+\lambda_{k}\right) \phi_{i, j, k}+\lambda_{k} \phi_{i, j, k+1}}{\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+2}\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}-\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial \sigma^{3}}+O\left(\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+^{2}}-\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-} \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}+\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-2}\right)  \tag{50}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}= & \frac{\phi_{i+1, j+1, k}-\phi_{i-1, j+1, k}-\phi_{i+1, j-1, k}+\phi_{i-1, j-1, k}}{4 \Delta \xi \Delta \eta}+O\left(\Delta \xi^{2}, \Delta \eta^{2}\right)  \tag{51}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \xi \partial \sigma}= & \frac{\lambda_{k}^{2} \phi_{i+1, j, k+1}-\lambda_{k}^{2} \phi_{i-1, j, k+1}-\phi_{i+1, j, k-1}+\phi_{i-1, j, k-1}}{2 \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right) \Delta \xi} \\
& +\frac{\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-1\right) \phi_{i-1, j, k}-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-1\right) \phi_{i+1, j, k}}{2 \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right) \Delta \xi}+O\left(\Delta \xi^{2}, \lambda_{k} \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+^{2}}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \eta \partial \sigma}= & \frac{\lambda_{k}^{2} \phi_{i, j+1, k+1}-\lambda_{k}^{2} \phi_{i, j-1, k+1}-\phi_{i, j+1, k-1}+\phi_{i, j-1, k-1}}{2 \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right) \Delta \eta} \\
& +\frac{\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-1\right) \phi_{i, j-1, k}-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-1\right) \phi_{i, j+1, k}}{2 \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right) \Delta \eta}+O\left(\Delta \eta^{2}, \lambda_{k} \Delta \sigma_{k}^{+^{2}}\right) \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

being $\lambda_{k}=\frac{\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-}}{\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}}$.
All the schemes are second order, except the corresponding one to $\frac{\partial^{2} c}{\partial \sigma^{2}}$, which is second order in the case of regular vertical spacing $\left(\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}=\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-}\right)$, or if it is defined in a proper manner to be second order. In our case we have used $\Delta \sigma_{k}^{+}=$ $\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-}+\Delta \sigma_{k}^{-2}$ which produces more concentration of points near the terrain.

On the boundary, second order schemes are also proposed for the first derivatives of $c$ and for the derivatives of $z_{s}$, using the same technique as [18]. Thus the elliptic equation (13) and the boundary conditions (13), (14) and (15) are discretized by the schemes referenced before.

As the resulting system of equations $A x=b$ is non-symmetric, a suitable linear solver should be applied. In our case, the Bi-CGSTAB biorthogonalization algorithm [19] has been used, since this method has proved its efficiency to solve this type of linear systems of equations, which arises from the finite difference discretization. To improve the convergence, several classical preconditioners, like $\operatorname{diag}(A), \operatorname{SSOR}(w)$ and $I L U(0)$ [20] have been implemented.

## 4 Numerical experiment

The studied region has been located at the south of La Palma Island (Canary Islands). A $31200 m \times 31200 m \times 4000 m$ domain $\Omega$ has been selected, being 2150 m the maximum height above sea level. The necessary data for wind field adjustment has been obtained from [21], and summarized in Table 1, with $\overrightarrow{v_{g}}=(-38.5,3.40,0.00) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $D$ (neutral) Pasquill stability class.

Once the wind field is known (see Figure 3) and for our $81 \times 81 \times 21$ mesh we calculate the pollutant concentration in every node, from a $2 n$ order system of equations (i.e. 275562 equations), preconditioned with $\operatorname{ILU}(0)$. The tolerance was $\epsilon=10^{-13}$.

The emission of pollutant, that follows a Gaussian model (see equation 33), is uniform throughout the time. The concentrations of pollutant grow up in the chimney from a null value, as it can see at Figure 4. For this experiment we have used $C_{i_{0}}=10^{6} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s}, \sigma_{x}=\sigma_{y}=500$ y $\sigma_{z}=50$, and $\left(x_{1_{0}}, x_{2_{0}}, x_{3_{0}}\right)=$

| February 11, | 1995 | $M B I$ | $M B I I$ | MBIII | LPA |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coord | $X$ | 227270.00 | 227155.00 | 227564.00 | 231715.00 |
|  | $Y$ | 3161499.00 | 3161564.00 | 3161443.00 | 3168209.00 |
|  | $Z$ | 460.00 | 475.00 | 390.00 | 40.00 |
|  | Mod | 5.68 | 5.8 | 6.85 | 8.89 |
|  | Dir | 11.00 | 95.6 | 1.40 | 32.00 |

Table 1
Meteorological station locations in UTM (Universal Transversal Mercator). Wind modules are in $m / s$ and the wind directions in north degrees.


Fig. 3. Wind field isolines into the $\Omega$ domain, using Table 1 data.
$(230460,3175133,293.18)$ that are the coordinates of chimney (the heigh is over sea level).


Fig. 4. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ propagation at the chimney height.

## 5 Conclusion

In this work a consistent mass model has been developed to adjust 3-D wind fields. From these we construct an air pollution model to approach the concentration of two set of coupled species: $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The use of a terrain conformal coordinate system allows to construct a simpler mesh due to the elimination of irregularities of the terrain. Though, in general, the variable vertical spacing leads to schemes of first consistence order, some strategies, here proposed, lead to second order schemes. Thus, the proposed formulation for the convection-diffusion-reaction problem provides interesting properties of consistence and stability. The model does not only allow to generate wind maps from the measure-
ments obtained in few stations, but to obtain the history of a pollution episode for the considered species.
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